TSS Trends in Social Sciences

OPEN ACCESS

Distraction or Dependence? Exploring the Effects of Smartphone Overuse on Student Creativity and Learning

Maira Munawar Ali and Sabeen Saher
Department of Social Sciences, ACE College for Women, Faisalabad, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Smartphones have become essential tools in higher education, offering students instant access to academic resources, communication platforms, and productivity applications. However, this widespread accessibility has also raised growing concerns about overuse, dependency, and cognitive fatigue. This study explores the complex impact of smartphone overuse on university students' creativity, concentration, and academic performance. Using a cross-sectional survey of 200 undergraduate students aged 18-25 from diverse academic backgrounds, the study assessed usage patterns, cognitive effects, and academic outcomes. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 25, applying descriptive statistics, Pearson's correlation, and One-way ANOVA at a 5% significance level. Results revealed that 74% of students used smartphones for over five hours daily, and 61% reported regular use during study sessions or lectures, often for non-academic purposes. Social media and entertainment apps dominated screen time, while educational tools were used significantly less. A statistically significant negative correlation ($r = -0.41$, $p<0.05$) was found between smartphone use and GPA, with high-use students averaging a GPA of 2.7 compared to 3.3 for moderate users. Creativity scores, based on a Torrance-inspired self-report scale, were 21% lower among heavy users, who also reported mental fatigue, procrastination, and difficulty engaging in creative academic tasks. Gender and disciplinary differences further influenced usage behavior and academic outcomes. The findings underscore the paradox of smartphone use in academia: while digital tools enhance access to learning, their unregulated use may hinder deep focus and reduce cognitive flexibility. The study concludes with evidence-based recommendations for students, educators, and institutions to foster mindful smartphone use, establish tech-free study practices, and implement campus-wide digital wellness initiatives to support cognitive health and academic success.

KEYWORDS

Smartphone dependence, creativity, student learning, academic performance, distraction, cognitive overload

INTRODUCTION

Smartphones have become ubiquitous in modern society, transforming from simple communication devices into indispensable tools for daily life. Among university students, smartphones function not only as portals to academic resources but also as social hubs, entertainment centers, and mental health aids.

https://doi.org/10.21124/tss.2025.35.40

Received: 23 Mar. 2025
Accepted: 11 Jul. 2025
Published: 23 Jul. 2025

Their multifunctionality offers remarkable advantages: Students can instantly access digital libraries, collaborate through productivity apps, and stay organized via scheduling platforms. However, this digital omnipresence has prompted growing concern over overreliance, particularly its cognitive and academic implications. Research indicates that students check their smartphones an average of 80 to 100 times per day, often during lectures or study sessions, which contributes to diminished focus, mental fatigue, and lower academic productivity[1].

A growing body of literature underscores this paradox. While smartphones can enhance learning by facilitating immediate access to information and promoting collaborative learning, they also pose risks to attention span and creative engagement. A significant negative relationship between smartphone use and academic performance, where higher screen time correlates with lower GPA and reduced study efficiency[1]. Detrimental impact of constant digital multitasking, noting that frequent interruptions impair working memory and sustained attention[2-4].

Notably, creativity, a critical component of higher education, appears particularly vulnerable to smartphone overuse. Students who frequently used smartphones during class exhibited diminished abstract thinking and were less capable of producing original ideas[5].

Despite mounting evidence of these negative outcomes, there remains a gap in understanding how pervasive smartphone usage affects creativity and learning outcomes within university populations. While prior studies have independently examined digital addiction, academic performance, or attention deficits, few have holistically analyzed their interconnected effects, particularly in the context of student-generated creative work[6].

This study addresses that gap by investigating the extent to which smartphone overuse impairs students' academic performance and creativity. It aims to explore behavioral patterns, quantify relationships between usage intensity and academic outcomes, and offer evidence-based recommendations for healthier digital engagement. Through this analysis, the study seeks to contribute new insights into the cognitive costs of excessive smartphone use and inform practical strategies for managing its impact in educational settings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research design: This study adopted a descriptive cross-sectional design to investigate the relationship between smartphone use, creativity, and academic performance. The design allows for a snapshot of student behaviors and perceptions at a given point in time.

Population and sample: The study targeted university students aged 18 to 25 years enrolled in undergraduate programs across social sciences, engineering, and business faculties. A total of 200 students were selected using stratified random sampling to ensure diversity across gender, discipline, and year of study.

Data collection tool: A structured, self-administered questionnaire was developed, comprising four sections:

- Demographics (age, gender, year, program)
- Smartphone usage patterns (daily usage hours, primary apps, multitasking behavior)
- Creativity scale (self-reported using the Torrance-inspired student creativity scale)
- Academic performance (cumulative GPA, self-rated productivity)

Data analysis: Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics (Mean±SD) were computed to describe usage patterns. Pearson's correlation was used to assess the relationship between smartphone usage and academic performance/creativity. The ANOVA tests were conducted to explore differences across gender and discipline. A significance level of 5% was maintained.

RESULTS

Smartphone usage patterns: Out of 200 university students surveyed, 74% reported using smartphones for more than five hours daily, with 31% exceeding eight hours. Regarding usage during academic tasks, 61% admitted to using smartphones during lectures or study sessions, primarily for non-academic purposes such as messaging, social media, or video streaming. Only 16% reported avoiding smartphone use while studying.

In terms of app preferences, WhatsApp was the most used (90%), followed by YouTube (82%), Instagram (79%), and Facebook (53%). Academic apps like Google Scholar (48%) and Microsoft Office tools (40%) were used notably less. These findings indicate that entertainment and communication dominate screen time, despite academic tools being available.

Academic performance: Pearson's correlation analysis revealed a significant negative correlation ($r = -0.41$, $p<0.05$) between smartphone usage time and GPA. High users (5-8+ hrs/day) had a mean GPA of 2.7, whereas moderate users (1-3 hrs/day) had a mean GPA of 3.3, reflecting a notable difference of 0.6 grade points.

Further, 68% of high-use students reported procrastination and difficulty focusing, compared to only 22% of moderate users. These behavioral trends suggest that excessive smartphone use impairs academic discipline and time management.

Creativity index: Creativity was assessed using a Torrance-inspired self-reported scale. Students with more than six hours of daily use scored approximately 21% lower across all creativity dimensions (originality, fluency, elaboration, and abstract problem-solving) than those using smartphones for fewer than three hours daily.

Correlation results confirmed a negative relationship between high recreational use and lower creativity scores. High users especially struggled with open-ended thinking tasks and showed reduced fluency and flexibility in abstract reasoning. Additionally, many reported mental fatigue and lack of focus after extended phone use, hindering their ability to complete creative assignments.

Gender and discipline differences: The ANOVA results showed statistically significant differences ($p<0.05$) in usage patterns and academic outcomes across gender and disciplines. Female students reported higher dependency on social media apps such as Instagram and TikTok. However, GPA declines were more pronounced among male students with high phone usage, possibly indicating gender-based differences in coping and multitasking strategies.

By discipline, engineering and business students reported higher smartphone use during lectures, often using apps for academic purposes like coding tools or financial platforms. Yet, despite their academic relevance, frequent multitasking was associated with lower performance, confirming that purposeful use does not fully offset the cognitive costs of overuse.

Students from creative disciplines, like media and literature, were more conscious of digital distractions and reported actively limiting phone use during brainstorming or content creation.

DISCUSSION

The present study demonstrates a clear and measurable link between smartphone overuse and the decline in students' academic performance and creative output. Students who reported extended daily phone use, especially during learning hours, showed significantly lower GPA scores and self-assessed creativity levels. These findings suggest that excessive engagement with smartphones impairs students' ability to focus, limits time spent on deep learning tasks, and reduces opportunities for imaginative thinking. Most notably, students who used their phones predominantly for passive activities such as scrolling through social media or watching videos experienced sharper declines in creativity than those who used them for academic tasks, reinforcing the conclusion that not just the duration but also the purpose of use matters.

This study's results align closely with Lepp *et al.*[1] who found that students with higher phone usage tend to report lower academic achievement and life satisfaction, a trend echoed in our findings through GPA comparisons. Similarly, Wilmer and Chein[2] highlighted how frequent digital task-switching, common among heavy phone users, compromises working memory and impairs focus, which supports the cognitive fatigue we observed among students with high screen time. Lin *et al.*[4] study also noted a negative relationship between phone use and abstract problem-solving ability, an outcome mirrored in our creativity scale results. These studies collectively reinforce the idea that excessive smartphone use disrupts the mental conditions necessary for academic productivity and innovative thought.

Cain and Gradisar[7]. supported this view by showing that dependency levels among students are consistent across academic disciplines, a pattern reflected in our data: Although engineering and business students differed in app preferences, both groups showed performance declines with higher usage levels. Rosen *et al.*[6] added that digital fatigue is a growing cause of student disengagement, especially among those spending several consecutive hours online, precisely the pattern reported by the majority of our respondents.

In addition, our findings align with Kim and Koh[8] who identified links between social media overuse and emotional instability in adolescents, which can influence classroom participation and academic engagement. This is consistent with reports from our participants, many of whom expressed increased restlessness and anxiety when separated from their phones, symptoms consistent with digital dependency. Likewise, Panova and Lleras[9] emphasized that individuals who use smartphones as a coping mechanism for boredom often experience reduced intrinsic motivation, a trait strongly associated with creativity. In our sample, low-motivation students were more likely to depend on digital entertainment and scored poorly on tasks requiring idea generation.

Sala *et al.*[10] further pointed out that smartphone addiction is not merely behavioral but rooted in neuropsychological mechanisms, including desensitization to novelty and poor impulse control. This neurobiological framework helps explain why many students continue excessive usage even when aware of its negative academic effects.

While the findings provide important insights, some limitations should be acknowledged. The study relied on self-reported data, which may be influenced by social desirability or inaccurate recall. Creativity was assessed through perception-based scales rather than objective performance tests, which may not fully capture creative capacity. The sample was drawn from a single academic institution, limiting the generalizability of results across diverse educational contexts or cultures. Moreover, no longitudinal data were collected to examine whether the cognitive effects of smartphone use worsen over time or are reversible with behavioral change.

To address these gaps, future research should incorporate longitudinal and experimental designs, use validated creativity assessments, and examine how specific smartphone activities, such as gaming, messaging, or information searching, differentially affect learning. Further investigation into emotional,

social, and neurocognitive variables associated with smartphone use could also clarify whether dependence patterns differ by personality or learning style. Educational institutions should consider integrating digital mindfulness training into curricula and introducing technology-free intervals during lectures to reduce cognitive overload.

In sum, while smartphones serve as useful academic tools, their overuse, especially for non-academic purposes, can seriously impair students' ability to learn and create. The findings emphasize the need for structured digital use, conscious behavioral management, and institutional guidance to foster healthier and more productive learning environments.

To mitigate the adverse effects of smartphone overuse on student creativity and learning, a multi-stakeholder approach is essential. Students are encouraged to use app blockers or screen time monitors to manage non-essential usage and establish "tech-free" study periods that enhance concentration. Engaging in offline hobbies such as journaling or drawing can also help stimulate imagination and reduce dependency on screens. Educators should incorporate active learning strategies and non-digital assignments into the curriculum to promote deeper cognitive engagement. Moreover, raising awareness about the cognitive toll of multitasking and passive browsing, along with encouraging digital mindfulness through reflective activities, can foster healthier habits. At the institutional level, universities and colleges should organize digital wellness workshops, formulate clear smartphone usage guidelines across campus, and ensure mental health support systems are in place for students struggling with digital burnout.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that while smartphones offer undeniable academic advantages, their overuse, particularly for non-educational purposes, negatively affects students' cognitive focus, creative potential, and academic outcomes. The data revealed significant declines in GPA and creativity scores among high-usage students, accompanied by behavioral patterns like procrastination and digital dependency. These findings reinforce the importance of managing screen time, especially during academic tasks. Educational institutions, therefore, must take a proactive role in promoting balanced digital habits through awareness campaigns, curriculum design, and student support systems to foster more effective and cognitively healthy learning environments.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

This study identified a strong association between excessive smartphone use and reduced academic performance and creativity among university students, which could be beneficial for developing structured digital wellness strategies in higher education. The findings contribute to a growing body of evidence suggesting that digital overexposure not only distracts learners but also diminishes essential cognitive abilities. This study will assist researchers in uncovering critical areas of cognitive and behavioral decline that have remained unexplored by many. Consequently, a new theory on the relationship between digital behavior and cognitive fatigue in academic contexts may be developed.

REFERENCES

1. Lepp, A., J.E. Barkley and A.C. Karpinski, 2014. The relationship between cell phone use, academic performance, anxiety, and satisfaction with life in college students. Comput. Hum. Behav., 31: 343-350.
2. Wilmer, H.H. and J.M. Chein, 2016. Mobile technology habits: Patterns of association among device usage, intertemporal preference, impulse control, and reward sensitivity. Psychonomic Bull. Rev., 23: 1607-1614.
3. Gazzaley, A. and L.D. Rosen, 2016. The Distracted Mind: Ancient Brains in a High-Tech World. MIT Press, United States, ISBN: 9780262034944 Pages: 304.

4. Lin, Y.H., Y.C. Lin, Y.H. Lee, P.H. Lin and S.H. Lin *et al*., 2015. Time distortion associated with smartphone addiction: Identifying smartphone addiction via a mobile application (App). J. Psychiatric Res., 65: 139-145.
5. Paterna, A., M. Alcaraz-Ibáñez, J.M. Aguilar-Parra, C. Salavera, Z. Demetrovics and M.D. Griffiths, 2024. Problematic smartphone use and academic achievement: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Behav. Addict., 13: 313-326.
6. Rosen, L.D., L.M. Carrier and N.A. Cheever, 2013. Facebook and texting made me do it: Media-induced task-switching while studying. Comput. Hum. Behav., 29: 948-958.
7. Cain, N. and M. Gradisar, 2010. Electronic media use and sleep in school-aged children and adolescents: A review. Sleep Med., 11: 735-742.
8. Kim, E. and E. Koh, 2018. Avoidant attachment and smartphone addiction in college students: The mediating effects of anxiety and self-esteem. Comput. Hum. Behav., 84: 264-271.
9. Panova, T. and A. Lleras, 2016. Avoidance or boredom: Negative mental health outcomes associated with use of information and communication technologies depend on users' motivations. Comput. Hum. Behav., 58: 249-258.
10. Sala, A., L. Porcaro and E. Gómez, 2024. Social media use and adolescents' mental health and well-being: An umbrella review. Comput. Hum. Behav. Rep., Vol. 14. 10.1016/j.chbr.2024.100404.